

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,282	06/09/2006	Arno Willem Frederik Volker	253183	2926	
23460 11/242908 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			EXAMINER		
			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
			MAIL DATE 11/24/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,282	VOLKER, ARNO WILLEM FREDERIK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert R. Raevis	2856		

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert R. Raevis	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extrassions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled and the communication of the communication of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled and the communication of the communic							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3 Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) 2-6.9 and 10 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s)							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☒ some * c) ☒ none of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/06.

6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/582,282

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 1,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cushman et al.

As to claims 1,7. Cushman et al teach use of a transmit/receive transducer 114 (col. 31.

lines 27-35) to measure parameters to derive a "particle size distribution" (col. 12, line 65). The "distribution" is "derived" (col. 12, line 67) from "Equation 18" (col. 12, line 62), which relation relates back to Equations 4-10. The measurements taken the by transducer, and used in the Equations, take into account the existence of particles that are being shadowed ("shadow", col. 8,

line 10) by other particles, as the shadowed particles "will subtract from the total number of particles per unit volume" (col. 8, lines 56-57). As the equations take shadowing into account, it

employs a correction as claimed.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushman et al.

As to claim 8, it would have been obvious to operate Cushman's method via a computer program as microprocessors permit for efficiently carrying out steps in measurements of liquids that requiring processing of data.

Claims 2-6,9,10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30am to 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams, can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert R. Raevis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2856